REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION

			HE ABOVE ORGANIZA	HON.					
	REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 2. REPORT TYPE 11/09/2018 Journal			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)					
4. TITLE AND	SUBTITLE				5a. CON	TRACT NUMBER			
Chronic Decon	pression Illness	Cognitive Dysfu	nction Improved with H	yperbaric					
Oxygen: A Cas									
	-				5b. GRANT NUMBER				
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER				
					SC. PRC	GRAW ELEWENT NOWBER			
						•			
6. AUTHOR(S)			THE STATE OF THE S		5d. PROJECT NUMBER				
Wolf, Earl Geo									
,	5								
					5e. TAS	K NUMBER			
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER				
					31. WOR	K UNII NUWBER			
					1				
7. PERFORMI	IG ORGANIZAT	ION NAME(S) AN	ND ADDRESS(ES)		<u> </u>	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION			
59th Clinical R	esearch Division	ι			REPORT NUMBER				
1100 Willford	Hall Loop, Bldg	4430							
	i, TX 78236-990					17496			
210-292-7141	,				17 150				
9. SPONSORII	IG/MONITORING	G AGENCY NAM	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	3)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
	esearch Division		_(0) / 1115 / 155 / 1200(21	-,					
	Hall Loop, Bldg	-							
	i, TX 78236-990					44 CRONCOD/MONITORIO REPORT			
210-292-7141	I, 1A /6230-990					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
210-292-7141						1101112211(0)			
40 010771717									
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT									
Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.									
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES									
Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine									
14. ABSTRACT	•								
						·			
15. SUBJECT TERMS									
46 650155	01 4001510 - 5 - 5	N OF-	17. LIMITATION OF	149 MIIMPER	1406 114	IF OF DECRONORY E REPORT			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF 18. NUMBE ABSTRACT OF					R 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Clarice Longoria				
a. KEI OKI D. ABSTRACT C. TIIS PAGE				PAGES	L				
			-		19b. TELI	EPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 210-292-7141			

Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

1	
2	
3 4	Chronic decompression illness cognitive dysfunction improved with hyperbaric oxygen: a case report
5	
6 7	Kimberly R Bradley DO, MPH&TM E. George Wolf MD; Michael F Richards MD; and Devin P Beckstrand, MD, MPH
8	
9	59 th Medical Wing, Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine, Joint Base San Antonio - Lackland, TX
10	
11	Running head: Chronic DCI cognitive dysfunction improved with HBO2
12	
13	Corresponding author:
14	59th Medical Wing
15	E. George Wolf
16	59 MDSP/SGMH
17	2200 Bergquist Drive, Suite 1
18	Joint Base San Antonio - Lackland, TX 78236-9908
19	Office: 210.539.8018
20	Fax: 210.539.2122
21	earl o wolf ctr@mail mil

Chronic decompression illness cognitive dysfunction improved with hyperbaric oxygen: a

case report

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

Introduction

Altitude chamber exposures are used for training to allow aircrew to experience their hypoxia and pressure effect symptoms. Decompression illness (DCI) is an umbrella term that includes decompression sickness and/or air gas embolism, both known complications that can occur subsequent to altitude chamber training or in operational aircraft when the cabin altitude is at least 18,000 feet. Compared to open water diving, the incidence of altitude chamber induced decompression illness is around 0.25% (1). Because the evolution of gas within the tissue or vasculature is being treated upon recompression from altitude reaching the surface, often these DCI symptoms will decrease or resolve altogether. Residual joint pain may be treated by breathing 100% oxygen, preferably using an aviator's mask or a continuous positive airway pressure mask. If not available, at the very least, a non-rebreather may be used, but in the best of conditions may supply around 60% inhaled oxygen. If the symptoms resolve, the patient remains on 100% oxygen for one hour longer or a minimum of two hours whichever is longer. If joint pain symptoms do not resolve by two hours, if the initial symptoms were neurological, or if any resolved symptoms recur, then recompression in a hyperbaric chamber is warranted where a US Navy treatment table 6 (TT6) is most often used for therapy. Alternate tables are available at the discretion of the hyperbaric medicine physician or chamber dive master. If symptoms persist, additional treatment "tailing dives" using US Navy treatment table 5, 6 or a treatment table 9 (45 feet of sea water (137.8 kPa) with a 30 minute oxygen period separated by a period of air breathing – a standard hyperbaric wound treatment profile) are done daily until symptoms resolve or plateau over two successive treatments.

Patient history

1

2 A 27-year-old female underwent altitude chamber training to an altitude of 25,000 feet on 3 26 June 2012. During the hypoxia demonstration, she experienced onset of tingling in both legs 4 and left arm, in addition to headache, dizziness, and malaise. The physiological technicians in 5 the chamber ensured that she started breathing 100% oxygen but her symptoms continued. She 6 then started having difficulty responding to the attendants. She could hear them, but could not speak back. Upon compression to surface, she was examined by the local flight surgeon who 7 confirmed her symptoms and noted she had photophobia. The patient was transported to a 8 9 hyperbaric facility and received a USN treatment table 6 hyperbaric treatment. Upon returning 10 home, she experienced new onset right hip pain (4-5/10 pain at rest) with continued headache. dizziness and generalized malaise. She visited flight medicine the next day and was transported 11 back to the hyperbaric facility. She underwent a tailing TT5 due to right hip pain development 12 13 and continued headache, and dizziness as well as a perception of decreased mental capacity. 14 Post treatment, her symptoms resolved except for a continued moderate headache. She returned 15 home and sent back to her unit, but not returned to flying status. The patient continued to have recurrence of intermittent paresthesia and decreased memory over the next 12 months. Her 16 17 cognitive function worsened slowly over time described by her as similar to mild to moderate traumatic brain injury symptoms. The patient was evaluated by neurology, psychiatry, 18 19 psychology, and aerospace medicine specialists for the continued symptoms including decreased executive function and reaction times. The brain MRI was unremarkable and normal. In 20 addition, her echocardiogram for potential patent foramen ovale demonstrated no shunting. 21 22 Medications during this time included topiramate, combination isometheptene, acetaminophen 23 and dichloralphenazone (Midrin), combination butalbital, acetaminophen, caffeine (Fioricet), 24 rizatriptan and naproxen for headache, trazodone for sleep issues, and sertraline for depression.

1 Eventually, she was referred 14 months after the incident to Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical

Center Undersea and Hyperbaric Medicine for further evaluation.

ANAM on 5 November 2013 (39 total treatments).

3

4

2

Materials and Methods

5 As the patient deployed multiple times, she had a number of Automated 6 Neuropsychological Assessments Metrics (ANAM) tests (2). ANAM was developed by the U.S. 7 Army in the late 1990's using computer based cognitive measures and tests including attention. concentration, reaction time, memory, processing speed, decision-making and executive 8 9 function. Prior to her acceptance for treatment another ANAM was administered to create a pre-10 treatment baseline on 25 June 2013. This was compared to her most recent pre-deployment ANAM result on 20 March 2012 (Fig 1 and 2). After local evaluation, the patient started twice 11 daily (7 AM and 1 PM) treatments at a compression depth of 2.0 atmospheres absolute. Serial 12 ANAM tests were done after every 10 treatments (weekly) and compared to the pre-treatment 13 baseline and her 20 March 2012 ANAM scores. These ANAM results described in this report 14 15 are compared using the tests administered on, 10 October 2013 (post 20 treatments), and the final

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

16

Results

The ANAM on 20 March 2012 demonstrated "average or above" performance scores in all seven subtests with a sleep score of 2, and mood scores as seen in Fig 1. On 25 June 2013 performance scores were "clearly below" except for the "matching to sample" test, which was "below average" with a sleep score of 2 and considerable worsening in all mood scores (Fig 2). The serial ANAM scores improved over the first 20 treatments as seen in the ANAM on 10 October 2013 (Fig 3) with all performance scores "average or above" except for the two reaction

time scores ("below average" and "clearly below") with a sleep score of 1 and improved mood scores. She had an 11 day break to return to her home base. An ANAM was done prior to restarting hyperbaric treatments on 21 October 2013. The results demonstrated a slight deterioration with four performance scores "average or above" and three "below average" with a sleep score of 3 and some decreases in all of the mood scores except "restlessness, anxiety, and anger". The final ANAM on 5 November 2013 (Fig 4, post 39 total treatments) showed the performance scores "average or above" in all of the domains except for reaction time ("below average" and "clearly below"). These were nearly the same as the predeployment ANAM on 20 March 2012, and greatly improved compared to the pretreatment baseline ANAM on 25 Jun 13. The sleep score was 1 and the mood scores approached those of the predeployment ANAM and considerably improved from the pretreatment baseline ANAM.

13 Discussion

The symptoms from the altitude exposure favor air gas embolism with continued symptoms similar to traumatic brain injury from blast injuries as air emboli are produced (3-7). Both causes of air embolism recommend hyperbaric oxygen.

In spite of initial hyperbaric oxygen therapy the cognitive symptoms continued to worsen over time. Upon home base evaluation there was no shunting across the intra-atrial septum and MRI studies failed to demonstrate any pathological lesions. A pulmonary etiology could have occurred. One study of the potential use of hyperbaric oxygen for traumatic brain injury suggested a more likely benefit when symptoms were treated within 2 years of the precipitating event (8 - national meeting data presentation done June 2013). Based on this, we felt the residual DCI symptoms warranted treatment even though they initially occurred 14 months prior.

1 The protocol developed was based on neurological decompression sickness treatment where 2 hyperbaric oxygen therapy is continued until the symptoms resolve or plateau. Unfortunately, 3 the time frame was based on the number of days her base approved her temporary duty as a 4 patient. This precipitated the twice daily hyperbaric exposures versus single day exposures and 5 longer time to complete the same number of treatments. However, twice a day treatments are 6 common in acute hyperbaric oxygen indications and are used on occasion in late effect of 7 radiation injury cases (9). This demonstrates the issue of basing hyperbaric oxygen treatments to 8 patient response in conjunction with symptom changes, laboratory or other testing as a guide to 9 the therapy utilization. 10 In this case, we had an objective measure (ANAM) administered after every 10 treatments. The 11 ANAM is designed for repeated measures testing (10), but training effect is a concern in such 12 tests. Eonta (11) demonstrated improvement in repeated tests given back to back on the same 13 day, but plateauing over the last 2 days (3 and 4-day studies). In this case report, it could be a 14 factor, but likely small, as the 10-day break demonstrated a deterioration in scores followed by improvement. In addition, interval tests had individual subtests that had positive and negative 15 16 changes, but with overall improvement throughout the course of treatment. Placebo effect due to "medical vacation" cannot be ruled out; however, the patient was in medical hold at her home 17 18 base and not performing an active job. The demonstration that hyperbaric oxygen results in stem 19 cell mobilization due to hyperbaric oxygen therapy may be a factor in the patient's overall 20 cognitive improvement (12). 21 22 Would the patient improve without hyperbaric oxygen? Certainly, she may have. However, her four pre-deployment ANAM performance scores were "average or above" in all domains and the 23 24 significant deterioration post incident seen in the 25 June 2013 pre-treatment scores could not

1 have been much worse. As the base ANAM consultant observed, the case demonstrated real

2 changes beyond mere "training effect". How much hyperbaric oxygen therapy was increasing

3 the slope of improvement is the question. The patient was at risk of discharge from the service

4 from a medical evaluation board prior to her treatment. At last check, she remained on active

5 duty.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

Summary

This altitude training DCI case resulting in chronic cognitive dysfunction is the first case

to our knowledge that has been treated well after the normal timeframe. The availability of pre-

incident cognitive function testing allowed us to objectively measure improvement during the

hyperbaric oxygen therapy series. Such monitoring can be done, especially when a baseline is

available. This has potential impact for similar cognitive neurological cases in diving and

altitude as well as other bubble-related etiologies, including surgical-induced air gas emboli and

blast injury (13).

Disclaimer: The views expressed are those of the authors and do not reflect the official views or

policy of the Department of Defense or its Components.

References

- 1. Rice GM, Vacchiano CA, Moore JL Jr, Anderson DW. Incidence of decompression sickness in hypoxia training with and without 30-min O2 prebreathe. Aviat Space Environ Med. 2003; 74(1):56–61.
- 2. http://vistalifesciences.com/anam-intro. Retrieved 16 Oct 17.
- 3. Phillips YY. Primary blast injuries. Ann Emerg Med 1986 Dec, 15 (12): 1446-50.
- 4. Argyros GJ. Management of primary blast injury. Toxicology 121 (1997) 105-115
- 5. Yan Z, Yuan-Guo Zhou. The past and present of blast injury research in China. Chinese Journal of Traumatology 18 (2015) 194-200
- 6. Clemedson CJ, Holter I, Hultman BM. Air embolism and the cause of death in blast injury. The Miltiary Surgeon, June 1954 424-437
- 7. Damon, E.G. and Jones, R.K. (1971) Comparative effects of hyperopia and hyperbaric pressure in the treatment of primary blast injury. Technical Report DASA 2708. Headquarters, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington, DC.
- 8. Wolf EG, Baugh LM, Schubert Kabban CM, Richards MF, Prye J. Cognitive function in a traumatic brain injury hyperbaric oxygen randomized trial. UHM 2015, Vol 42 (4) Jul-Aug: 312-332.
- 9. Hampson NB and Corman JM. Rate of delivery of hyperbaric oxygen treatment does not affect response in soft tissue radionecrosis. UHM 2007 34 (5) 329-334)
- 10. http://vistalifesciences.com/research-and-publications. Retrieved 16 Oct 17.
- 11. Eonta SE, Carr W, McArdle JJ, Kain JM, Tate C, Wesensten NJ, et al. Automated Neuropsychological Assessment Metrics: repeated assessment with two military samples. Aviat Space Environ Med 2011; 82(1):34-9
- 12. Sabrina Shandley 1, E. George Wolf 2, Christine M. Schubert Kabban 3, Laura M. Baugh 4, Michael F. Richards 2, Jennifer Prye 1, Helen M. Arizpe 1, John Kalns 1. Increased circulating stem cells and better cognitive performance in traumatic brain injury subjects following hyperbaric oxygen therapy. UHM 2017, Vol. 44, No. 3
- 13. Kocsis JD, Tessler A. Pathology of blast-related brain injury. J Rehabil Res Dev 2009; 46(6): 667-72

HISTORY						
Injury cause(s): Resulting in:			Symptoms Right after Injury: none recorded.			ury: none recorded.
None record	ed. None recorde	ed	_ Sy	Symptoms Now While Resting: none recorded.		
PROVIDER OBSERVATIONS MACE: Interval between current and previous injury:				Symptoms Now after Exertion: none recorded.		
				parison Group: Military: strvices Females Age 26-30 Score: 2 - Able to concentrate, but not		
Comparison to BASELINE	SCALE (DOMAIN)	AVER OR AB	AGE OVE	BELOW AVERAGE	CLEARLY BELOW	quite at peak.
? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?	Simple Reaction Time (R) (REAC Procedural Reaction Time (PROC Code Substitution - Learning (LEAR Code Substitution - Delayed (DELA Mathematical Processing (WOR	Value of the control				MOOD (0-100) 81 HAPPINESS 69 VIGOR 08 FATIGUE 00 RESTLESSNESS 00 ANXIETY 00 DEPRESSION 00 ANGER

Category lower limits for Below Average (9th percentile, 80.5 standard score) and Clearly Below Average (2nd percentile, 70 standard score) are based on Hannay, H. J., & Lezak, M. D. (2004). The neuropsychological examination: Interpretation. In M. D. Lezak, D. B. Howieson, & D. W. Loring (Eds.), *Neuropsychological Assessment* (pp. 133-156). New York: Oxford University Press.

2 Figure 1. ANAM on 20 March 2012

1

HISTORY					
Injury cause(s): Resulting in:		Resulting in:	Symptoms Right after Injury: none recorded.		
MACE:	ed. R OBSERVATIONS ween current and previ	None recorded. ous injury:			esting: none recorded.
Comparison BASELINE	SCALE (DOMAIN)		earison Group: vices Females / BELOW AVERAGE		SLEEP (1-7) Score: 2 - Able to concentrate, but not quite at peak.
? ? ? ? ? ? ?	Simple Reaction Time Simple Reaction Time Procedural Reaction Ti Code Substitution - Le Code Substitution - De Mathematical Processi Matching to Sample	me (PROCESSING SPEED arning (LEARNING) layed (DELAYED MEMORY)			MOOD (0-100) 44 HAPPINESS 47 VIGOR 56 FATIGUE 39 RESTLESSNESS 56 ANXIETY 56 DEPRESSION 33 ANGER

1

Category lower limits for Below Average (9th percentile, 80.5 standard score) and Clearly Below Average (2nd percentile, 70 standard score) are based on Hannay, H. J., & Lezak, M. D. (2004). The neuropsychological examination: Interpretation. In M. D. Lezak, D. B. Howieson, & D. W. Loring (Eds.), *Neuropsychological Assessment* (pp. 133-156). New York: Oxford University Press.

Figure 2. ANAM on 25 June 2013

HISTORY						
Injury cause(s): Resulting in:				Symptoms Right after Injury: none recorded.		
None recorde	ed. Non-	e recorded.		Symptoms Now While Resting: none recorded.		
PROVIDER MACE: Interval bety	Symptoms Now after Exertion: none recorded.					
PERFORM	ANCE AT A GLANCE	parison Group: Military: SLEEP (1-7) Score: 3 - Relaxed a				
Comparison to BASELINE	SCALE (DOMAIN)		AYERAS	BELOW AVERAGE	SEASKY	awake, but not fully alert.
-2.83 -2.34 -2.7 0.99 0.32 -0.62 -0.63	Simple Reaction Time Simple Reaction Time (R) Procedural Reaction Time Code Substitution - Learnin Code Substitution - Delayer Mathematical Processing Matching to Sample	La el Computer de la				MOOD (0-100) 58 HAPPINESS 47 VIGOR 42 FATIGUE 22 RESTLESSNESS 31 ANXIETY 25 DEPRESSION 08 ANGER

Category lower limits for Below Average (9th percentile, 80.5 standard score) and Clearly Below Average (2nd percentile, 70 standard score) are based on Hannay, H. J., & Lezak, M. D. (2004). The neuropsychological examination: Interpretation. In M. D. Lezak, D. B. Howieson, & D. W. Loring (Eds.), *Neuropsychological Assessment* (pp. 133-156). New York: Oxford University Press.

2 Figure 3. ANAM on 10 October 2013

1

HISTORY						
Injury cause(s):		Resulting in:		Symptoms Right after Injury: none recorded.		
MACE:	ed. R OBSERVATIONS Ween current and prev	None recorded.			Resting: none recorded. Exertion: none recorded.	
Comparison BASELINE	SCALE (DOMAIN)			nparison Group: Military: ervices Females Age 26-30 GE BELOW CLEARLY VE AVERAGE BELOW	SLEEP (1-7) Score: 1 - Feeling very alert, wide awake, and energetic.	
-1.85 -4 -1.47 -0.06 0.42 0.01 -1.07	Simple Reaction Time Simple Reaction Time Procedural Reaction T Code Substitution - Le Code Substitution - De Mathematical Processi Matching to Sample	(R) (REACTION TIME) Time (PROCESSING SPEED earning (LEARNING) elayed (DELAYED MEMORY)			MOOD (0-100) 56 HAPPINESS 67 VIGOR 19 FATIGUE 14 RESTLESSNESS 36 ANXIETY 08 DEPRESSION 06 ANGER	

Category lower limits for Below Average (9th percentile, 80.5 standard score) and Clearly Below Average (2nd percentile, 70 standard score) are based on Hannay, H. J., & Lezak, M. D. (2004). The neuropsychological examination: Interpretation. In M. D. Lezak, D. B. Howieson, & D. W. Loring (Eds.), *Neuropsychological Assessment* (pp. 133-156). New York: Oxford University Press.

2 Figure 4. ANAM on 5 November 2013

1